UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

CRAIG WILSON, ERIC BELLAMY, KENDAL NELSON, and MAXIMINO NIEVES, on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated,

Case No. 20-cv-0794

Judge James Gwin

Petitioners.

v.

MARK WILLIAMS, warden of Elkton Federal Correctional Institutions; and MICHAEL CARVAJAL, Federal Bureau of Prisons Director, in their official capacities,

Respondents.

PETITIONERS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL CASE LAW AND RESPONSE TO RESPONDENTS' NOTICES OF SUPPLEMENTAL CASE LAW

Petitioners respectfully give notice of persuasive authority, including from this Court, regarding the necessity of judicial release from custody of individuals who are medically vulnerable to COVID-19 infection, the vital necessity of social distancing in the custodial context, and the associated deliberate indifference inquiry. *Amaya-Cruz v. Adducci*, No. 1:20 CV 789 (N.D. Ohio Apr. 18, 2020) (attached as Exhibit A); *Cameron v. Bouchard*, No. 20-10949 (E.D. Mich. Apr. 17, 2020) (attached as Exhibit B); *Banks v. Booth*, No. 20-849(CKK) (D.D.C. Apr. 20, 2020) (attached as Exhibit C).

Further, Petitioners respectfully respond to Respondents' notices of supplemental authority, filed on April 18, 2020 (ECF No. 19-1, *Nellson v. Barnhart*) and on April 20, 2020 (ECF No. 20, *Plata v. Newsom*) respectively. Both proffered cases are inapposite. Respondents offer *Nellson* for its discussion of the "exhaustion of administrative remedies," *see* ECF No. 19 at 2, but that is not germane to this case. Administrative exhaustion is not required in the habeas context, *see* ECF No. 18 at 11; *Nellson* is not a habeas case and does not hold otherwise.

Plata also is not a habeas action, and arises from a profoundly different factual context. Unlike Respondents here, the Plata defendants released or dispersed significant numbers of prisoners in response to COVID-19, including releasing 3,500 people, and transferring another 1,300 out of dorm housing. Id. at 7-8. California prisons are implementing social distancing as well, including creating and isolating small-group "social distancing cohorts" analogous to family units, "to be separated from the others by a distance of at least six feet in all directions." Id. at 10. Also unlike in this action, the court in Plata was offered no basis to conclude that six-foot distancing was even an essential measure, such that failure to do so could constitute deliberate indifference, id. at 9, whereas this Court has more than ample evidence to support such a finding.

Dated: April 20, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David J. Carey

David J. Carey (0088787) ACLU of Ohio Foundation 1108 City Park Avenue, Ste. 203 Columbus, OH 43206 Phone: (614) 586-1972 Fax: (614) 586-1974 dcarey@acluohio.org

Joseph Mead (0091903) Freda J. Levenson (0045916) ACLU of Ohio Foundation 4506 Chester Avenue Cleveland, OH 44102 Phone: (614) 586-1972 Fax: (614) 586-1974

attyjmead@gmail.com flevenson@acluohio.org

Counsel for Petitioners

David A. Singleton (0074556)
Mark A. Vander Laan (0013297)
Michael L. Zuckerman (0097194)
Ohio Justice & Policy Center
915 East Ninth Street, Suite 601
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Phone: (513) 421-1108

mvanderlaan@ohiojpc.org dsingleton@ohiojpc.org mzuckerman@ohiojpc.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 20, 2020, the foregoing was filed with the Court's CM/ECF system. Notice of this filing will be sent by operation of that system to all counsel of record.

/s/ David J. Carey David J. Carey (0088787)